# Poster (25)

Poster grades will be based of of low, medium, and high scores in the following categories:

* Technical specifications - 5 points
* Relevance of material - 5 points
* Creativity - 5 points
* Attractiveness - 5 points
* Readability - 5 points

## Technical specifications

* A catchy title;
* Names of each group member;
* The date;
* Font (besides the works cited) no smaller than 24pt;
* A descriptive summary of your data;
* At least 3 images or figures;
* Key ideas and main take-aways.

# Presentation (20)

* Consistency in participation, every group member contributes something substantial - 5 points
* Organization and preparedness, presentation does not go over 7 mins (no less than 5 mins) - 5 points
* Clarity and relevance of message - 10 points

# General Grading Guidelines

* Consistency: Did you clearly answer the question of interest?
* Clarity: Can the audience easily understand your analysis process and any sort of conclusions/arguments you make?
* Relevancy: Did you use the appropriate statistical techniques to address your question? Was your analysis thorough (e.g. did you consider interactions in addition to main effects?)?
* Interest: Did you attempt to answer a challenging and interesting question rather than just calculating a lot of descriptive statistics and simple linear regression models?
* Organization: Is your write up and presentation organized in a way that is neat and clear for the audience to understand?

# Group

## Presentation

|  | Points Possible | Low | Medium | High |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Consistency in participation | 5 |  |  |  |
| Organization and preparedness | 5 |  |  |  |
| Clarity and relevance | 10 |  |  |  |

**Total points:**

## Poster

|  | Points Possible | Low | Medium | High |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Relevance of material | 5 |  |  |  |
| Readability | 5 |  |  |  |
| Creativity | 5 |  |  |  |
| Attractiveness | 5 |  |  |  |
| Technical specs | 5 |  |  |  |

**Total points:**